



Baker Research Online
<http://library.bakeridi.edu.au>

This is the postprint version of the work. It is the manuscript that was accepted by the journal following peer review. It does not include the publisher's layout and pagination.

Lynch BM, Boyle T, Winkler E, Occlleston J, Courneya KS, Vallance JK. Patterns and correlates of accelerometer-assessed physical activity and sedentary time among colon cancer survivors. *Cancer Causes Control* 2016;27(1):59-68.

<http://hdl.handle.net/11187/2767>

Copyright © Springer Verlag. This file is for personal use. Further distribution is not permitted.
The final publication is available at link.springer.com

Title

Patterns and correlates of accelerometer-assessed physical activity and sedentary time among colon cancer survivors

Authors

Brigid M. Lynch,¹⁻³ Terry Boyle,⁴⁻⁶ Elisabeth Winkler,⁷ Jessica Occeleston,^{3,8} Kerry S. Courneya,⁹ Jeff K. Vallance¹⁰

¹ Cancer Epidemiology Centre, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

² Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

³ Physical Activity Laboratory, Baker IDI Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁴ Cancer Control Research, British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁵ School of Population and Public Health, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁶ Centre for Medical Research, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

⁷ The University of Queensland, School of Population Health, Cancer Prevention Research Centre, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

⁸ RDNS Institute, Royal District Nursing Service, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁹ Faculty of Physical Education and Recreation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

¹⁰ Faculty of Health Disciplines, Athabasca University, Athabasca, Alberta, Canada.

Address for correspondence

Dr Brigid M. Lynch

Cancer Epidemiology Centre

Cancer Council Victoria

615 St Kilda Road

Melbourne Victoria 3004

Australia

email: brigid.lynch@cancervic.org.a

telephone: +61 3 9514 6209

facsimile: +61 3 9514 6800

Word count

Abstract: 249

Text: 2,942

Number of tables: 3

Number of figures: 1

Key words

Physical activity; sedentary behaviour; colon cancer; cancer survivors; accelerometry

Acknowledgements

Lynch is supported by a National Breast Cancer Foundation Early Career Fellowship (ECF-15-012). Boyle is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Early Career Fellowship (1072266). Winkler is supported by a NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence grant (1057608). Courneya and Vallance are supported by the Canada Research Chairs program. Vallance is also supported by a Population Health Investigator Award from Alberta Innovates – Health Solutions.

Compliance with ethical standards

The authors have no conflicts of interest. The study was approved by Ethics boards at Alberta Health Services (Alberta Cancer Research Ethics Committee), Athabasca University, Department of Health Western Australia and The University of Western Australia. All participants provided written, informed consent.

Abstract

Background: Understanding of the physical activity and sedentary behaviour of cancer survivors is limited by reliance on self-reported data. Here, we report the correlates, and patterns of accumulation, of physical activity (light, and moderate-vigorous; MVPA) and sedentary behaviour, in colon cancer survivors, using accelerometer-based assessments.

Methods: Colon cancer survivors from Alberta, Canada (n=92) and Western Australia (n=93) (overall response rate=21%) wore an Actigraph® GT3X+ accelerometer for seven consecutive days and completed a questionnaire in 2012 - 2013. Accelerometer data (60 second epochs) were summarised using Freedson activity cutpoints, and were adjusted for wear time. Linear regression analyses, conducted 2014 - 2015, examined correlates for different intensities of activity.

Results: Younger age, being employed, higher family income and lower BMI were significantly correlated with MVPA, while gender, educational attainment and BMI were correlated with light-intensity physical activity. Gender, comorbidities, and BMI were correlated with sedentary time. MVPA did not vary by day of the week, whereas the remaining time (as a sedentary/light ratio) showed significant variation, with Saturdays being more sedentary than average. When considering time of day, we found that evenings were when the likelihood of both MVPA and sedentary time was highest.

Conclusions: The low level of MVPA and high volume of sedentary time demonstrated by these objective data highlight the need for intervention in colon cancer survivors. The correlates and accumulation patterns described by this study may better inform interventions and translational research designed to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviour in colon cancer survivors.

Introduction

Colon cancer survivorship is associated with decrements in health status and an increased risk of death from non-cancer causes.¹ However, modifiable health behaviours can redress such morbidity. Participating in moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) after diagnosis has been associated with prolonged survival,²⁻⁵ diminished treatment side-effects and enhanced health-related quality of life.⁶⁻⁸ Sedentary behaviour is another risk factor that is additional to, and distinct from, the hazards of too little MVPA.⁹ Sedentary behaviours are defined by low energy expenditure and a sitting or lying posture.¹⁰ For colon cancer survivors, sedentary behaviour has been associated with poorer survival,¹¹ increased risk of heart disease,¹² increased body mass index (BMI)¹³ and lower quality of life scores.¹⁴

Research indicates adults spend the vast proportion of their day sitting or in light-intensity physical activity, however these behaviours are difficult to measure accurately and reliably by questionnaire.¹⁵ Objective monitoring devices, such as accelerometers, provide detailed data on the frequency, intensity and duration of physical activities performed across the day, and how these activities are accumulated over the course of the day and across the week. A clearer understanding of the physical activity and sedentary behaviour of cancer survivors is important for making recommendations about frequency, intensity and duration necessary to enhance health outcomes. It is also important to understand how these behaviours are accumulated throughout the day, so as to inform future intervention studies.

Few published studies have objectively characterised the sedentary time of cancer survivors. Two previous studies using accelerometer data from the National Health and Nutrition

Examination Survey (NHANES, 2005-06) examined the physical activity and sedentary time of breast¹⁶ and prostate cancer survivors.¹⁷ The results of these studies suggest that the physical activity of cancer survivors derived from self-reported measures appears to be substantially inflated relative to objective assessments, although the extent to which this is over-reporting of activity or under-detection by the devices is not clear. In the NHANES studies, breast cancer survivors recorded four minutes of MVPA per day, on average; for prostate cancer survivors it was six minutes.^{16, 17} A more recent, prospective study used accelerometers to quantify activity in breast cancer survivors, and concluded that this population is highly sedentary across the first year following primary treatment for breast cancer. At each three-monthly assessment over the year, women recorded nearly 11 hours of sedentary time per day (78% of their recorded waking hours), but only between 14 and 16 minutes per day of moderate-vigorous physical activity.¹⁸

We recently published the first study to document objectively-assessed MVPA and sedentary time among colon cancer survivors, examining associations with health-related quality of life and fatigue.⁸ Here, we present the distributions and correlates of a range of accelerometer-derived estimates of physical activity and sedentary time for colon cancer survivors. Describing the characteristics of colon cancer survivors who are most/least likely to engage in these behaviours may facilitate delivery of interventions to those most likely to benefit. We also explore the patterns of MVPA, and the degree to which remaining accelerometer wear time is spent sedentary or engaged in light physical activity, over the days of the week and across hours of the day. Such insights may inform optimal timing for when interventions might be targeted. Specifically, the aims of this study are to: (i) describe the distribution of accelerometer-derived physical activity (light, moderate, vigorous, MVPA, and MVPA accrued in bouts of ten minutes

or more) and sedentary time (including sedentary time accrued in prolonged bouts of at least 20 or 30 minutes); (ii) to identify the sociodemographic and medical correlates of MVPA, light-intensity physical activity, and sedentary time; and, (iii) to examine daily and hourly patterns of accelerometer-derived MVPA and sedentary time/light physical activity balance, in a sample of colon cancer survivors recruited from two population-based registries.

Methods

Recruitment procedures

The methods of this study have previously been reported.⁸ In brief, we recruited colon cancer survivors through the Alberta Cancer Registry (Canada) and the Western Australian Cancer Registry (Australia). The study was approved by Ethics boards at Alberta Health Services (Alberta Cancer Research Ethics Committee), Athabasca University, Department of Health Western Australia and The University of Western Australia. The cancer registries identified individuals with histologically confirmed stage I to III diagnosis of a first, primary colon cancer. Eligibility criteria included: being between the ages of 18 and 80; having completed cancer treatment; speaking English; being able to provide written informed consent; and being able to wear an accelerometer for seven consecutive days. Upon providing consent, participants were each sent a package containing a written questionnaire, an Actigraph GT3X+[®] tri-axial accelerometer (Actigraph, LLC, Pensacola, Florida), written instructions describing how to use the accelerometer, and a postage-paid envelope to return the questionnaire and accelerometer to the study team.

Measures

Physical activity and sedentary time were derived from data recorded by an accelerometer.

Participants wore the accelerometer on an elastic belt over their right hip during waking hours for seven consecutive days. Accelerometer data were downloaded using ActiLife 3.2.2 software and summarized using SAS 9.2. To be considered valid, days of data collection required at least 600 minutes (10 hours) of wear time and no excessive counts (>20,000 counts per minute; cpm). Non-wear periods were excluded from analyses; these were defined as intervals of at least 60 consecutive minutes of zero counts, with allowance for up to two minutes of observations of less than 50 cpm within the non-wear interval.¹⁹

Activity counts (vertical) were categorised as: sedentary (<100 cpm),²⁰ light-intensity (100 - 1951 cpm),²¹ moderate-intensity (1952 - 5724 cpm),²¹ or vigorous-intensity physical activity (≥ 5725 cpm),²¹ using data recorded in 60 second epochs. Moderate and vigorous-intensity physical activity were also collapsed (≥ 1952 cpm), and MVPA examined as total time accumulated, time in strict 'bouts' of ten consecutive minutes or more with no allowance for an interruption, and time in modified bouts (ten consecutive minutes of more with an allowance of up to two minutes interruption). Prolonged bouts of sedentary time were defined as: 20 consecutive minutes or more, corresponding to clinical changes in cardio-metabolic biomarkers;²² and, 30 consecutive minutes or more, corresponding to occupational health and safety guidelines.²³

Date of diagnosis (month, year), tumour site and stage were obtained from the cancer registries. Demographic and medical information including sex, age, employment, marital status, family income, education, height and weight, cancer therapies received and comorbid conditions were collected via the self-administered questionnaire sent to participants. All accelerometer and self-reported data were collected in 2012 – 2013.

Statistical Analyses

Analyses were conducted in 2014 - 2015 using Stata version 12 (Statacorp, College Station, TX, USA) and SPSS version 22 (IBM Corporation, USA). Significance was set as $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed).

Sample characteristics were described as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables, and count and percentage for categorical variables. Median, plus 25th and 75th percentile, were also reported for moderate-intensity physical activity, vigorous-intensity physical activity, and MVPA. Correlations between time spent at each activity intensity level were examined using Spearman's or Pearson's correlation coefficients (as appropriate).

Linear regression was used to identify the independent correlates of MVPA, light activity and sedentary time, assuming a normal distribution for light and sedentary variables and a gamma distribution (with a log link) for MVPA. Models initially included a broad range of sociodemographic (sex, age, employment status, marital status, household income, educational attainment, smoking status, BMI) and medical characteristics (time from diagnosis, stage of

disease, cancer therapies received, number of comorbid conditions). Variables not significant at $p < 0.2$ were eliminated.

Patterns by hour of the day, and day of the week, in sedentary/light balance (i.e., sedentary/light ratio) and MVPA (minutes/day or minutes/hour) were examined using generalized linear mixed models (in SPSS), that accounted for repeated measures and adjusted for relevant compositional differences (i.e., who provided data for particular days or times). All socio-demographic characteristics that were previously identified as associated at $p < 0.2$ with MVPA (MVPA models), light activity or sedentary time (sedentary/light ratio models) were adjusted. Log-transformation, a normal distribution and identity link were used for daily and hourly sedentary/light ratio. A gamma distribution and log link was used for daily MVPA and, because many hours of the day involved no MVPA, a binary distribution with a logit link was used to model the odds (for each hour) of engaging in any MVPA. Using marginal means from these models, we reported whether any days of the week or hours of the day were significantly above or below average (based on the overall grand mean), with significance adjustment for multiple comparisons (Sidak method).

Results

Participant characteristics

A total of 927 eligible colon cancer survivors received study invitation packages, and 197 responded to this initial mail-out (overall response rate of 21%). Within the Australian component of the sample, no differences by sex, age group or time from diagnosis were noted between study participants and non-participants (data were not obtained for Canadian

participants). One hundred and eighty-five participants completed the questionnaire and had valid accelerometer data. The demographic, health, and medical characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. Of note, only 9% of participants reported that they had been provided with advice about physical activity by their doctor(s). Pooling the samples appeared reasonable as no significant or large differences were observed between Canadian and Australian participants, except for time from diagnosis (16.9 vs 20.6 months) and employment status (31.5% vs 45.6% working, $p=0.046$). Notably, Canadian participants did not differ significantly from Australian participants in sedentary time (mean difference = -5.6, 95% CI: -32.5, 21.2 minutes/day, $p=0.680$), light-intensity physical activity (mean difference = 6.2, 95% CI: -19.0, 31.3 minutes/day, $p=0.630$) or MVPA (RR = 0.98, 95% CI: 0.77, 1.24, $p=0.838$).

Average daily physical activity and sedentary time is summarized in Table 2. Light-intensity physical activity showed moderate correlation with MVPA (Spearman's $\rho=0.42$), and a strong inverse correlation with sedentary time (Pearson's $r=-0.74$). The correlation between MVPA and sedentary time was inverse and moderate (Spearman's $\rho=-0.29$).

Correlates of light-intensity physical activity, MVPA, and sedentary time are presented in Table 3. Being male ($p=0.003$), having more comorbidities ($p=0.045$), and a higher BMI ($p=0.017$) were significantly associated with greater sedentary time. Sedentary time was significantly lower in women than men (on average, 42 minutes/day), was higher amongst participants with two or more comorbidities versus none (34 minutes/day) and higher for obese participants than those in the normal BMI category (44 mins/day). Greater light activity was significantly associated with being female ($p<0.001$), lower educational attainment ($p=0.018$) and lower BMI

($p=0.031$). On average, light activity was approximately 46 minutes/day higher in women than men, nearly one hour lower in university graduates than those with a less than secondary school education, and lower by 36 minutes/day in participants with an obese versus a normal BMI classification. MVPA was significantly associated with age (2% lower per year of age, $p=0.014$), family income (83% higher in those earning $\geq \$80,000$ than those earning $< \$40,000$, $p<0.001$), employment (44% lower amongst participants not working, compared with working, $p=0.006$) and BMI (two-fold lower in those with an obese versus normal BMI, $p<0.001$).

Figure 1 shows the breakdowns of colon cancer survivors' sedentary/light balance (a, b) and MVPA time (c,d) by day of the week (a,c) and hour of the day (b,d). Sedentary/light balance varied significantly by day of the week ($p=0.005$), specifically with the sedentary/light ratio being approximately 10% lower on Saturdays than the overall average (RR=0.91, 95% CI: 0.84, 0.98, $p=0.007$). There was also a non-significant tendency for Sundays to have an 8% higher than average sedentary/light ratio (RR=1.08, 95%CI: 1.00, 1.16, $p=0.063$). MVPA did not differ significantly by day of the week ($p=0.771$) and all deviations from the mean were small (2 minutes/day or less) with tight confidence intervals (no more than ± 6 minutes/day).

Sedentary/light ratio varied significantly across the day ($p<0.001$), with the hours from 6pm onward and before 8am being significantly less sedentary than average, the hours between 8am and 3pm being significantly more sedentary than average, with the remaining hours not deviating significantly from the overall average across the day. Nonetheless, all hours of the day tended to be more sedentary than light; the smallest sedentary/light ratio observed was for 11–11:59am (1.3, 95% CI: 1.0, 1.6) and the highest was for ≥ 10 pm (3.5, 95% CI: 2.8, 4.5).

MVPA varied significantly by hour of the day ($p < 0.001$). Overall, 63% (95%CI: 60, 65%) of hours involved some MVPA. The percentage of hours involving physical activity was significantly below average from 10am-3pm (lowest at 11–11:59am = 49%, 95%CI: 45%, 53%), significantly above average from 4–4:59pm and ≥ 6 pm (highest = 9–9:59pm = 76%, 95% CI: 73%, 79%) and not significantly different to the average at other times.

Discussion

This study provides the first comprehensive description of how colon cancer survivors (on average, 19 months post-diagnosis) allocate their time across different intensities of physical activity, and sedentary behaviour. Participants in this study spent approximately 23 minutes per day (equivalent to 161 minutes per week) in MVPA. This figure is slightly higher than self-reported estimates of physical activity from previous studies: estimates ranged from 147 minutes per week for males and 104 minutes per week for females in a large, population-based cohort of Australian colorectal cancer survivors²⁴ to 89 minutes per week for colorectal cancer survivors from Canada.²⁵ However, it is not possible to determine the extent to which the difference relates to the study, or to the measure used. Studies show limited agreement between accelerometer and self-report physical activity data;²⁶ there are fundamental differences in how physical activity is measured by each methodology. Accelerometers measure activity at a particular point in time; within our study (using a 60 second epoch) this is at a minute-by-minute level across virtually the entire waking day. Because physical activities are generally stop-start in their nature, questionnaires operationalise physical activity by having respondents report the main type of activity they have engaged in over some specific period of time. In most instances,

there is movement above and below the nominated specific intensity of physical activity during that period.

The extent to which these figures indicate colon cancer survivors' activity appears sufficient relative to guidelines is contentious. In our study, just over half of the participants met physical activity guidelines. Based on all MVPA, 52% of colon cancer survivors accrued at least 150 mins per week. The figures for guideline compliance are much lower when considered (as is sometimes done) based on MVPA occurring in bouts of at least ten minutes, defined strictly (16%). These low figures largely relate to problems capturing bouts of physical activity accurately, and in a meaningful way via accelerometer. Being engaged in activities considered "moderate" or "vigorous" for ten or more minutes at a time is fundamentally different to being continuously active at a moderate or higher intensity for ten or more minutes at a time, because many activities are inherently stop-start in nature (e.g., tennis, soccer). Further, the relative benefits of accruing MVPA sporadically versus in bouts of ten minutes or more are still being debated.²⁷ Regardless, all estimates examined tend to suggest many colon cancer survivors do not reach recommended physical activity levels.

Study participants spent an average of over five hours performing light-intensity activities, and eight and three quarter hours sedentary each day. The relative volume of these behaviours, which account for 97% of all waking hours that were monitored by accelerometer, highlights the importance of understanding their impact on health outcomes. Only one previous study (the *CanChange* trial) has estimated total sedentary time amongst colorectal cancer survivors. This study found that colorectal survivors self-reported just over six hours per day of sedentary

behaviour.²⁸ To date, there have been no published estimates of light-intensity physical activity within this population.

BMI was the only sociodemographic attribute associated significantly with all three activity outcomes. Sedentary time and light activity (which were strongly inversely correlated) showed some similarities in their independent correlates, such as both being significantly associated with gender and BMI ($p < 0.05$) and models for both retaining comorbidities (significant only for sedentary) and education (significant only for light). The variables that we found were correlated with MVPA - age, income, employment and BMI - were all/sometimes also identified in an earlier study that examined factors associated with colorectal cancer survivors meeting national physical activity guidelines.²⁴ Due to the small sample size, many of the non-significant comparisons had wide confidence intervals encompassing large differences. The absence of a significant difference is not an indication that activity was equal across sociodemographic characteristics that were not identified as independent correlates of activity.

We examined the distribution of physical activity and sedentary time across the week and across the day in order to better understand how these behaviours are accumulated, and potentially to identify days or times of day to target in future interventions. There were no significant or large differences by day of the week in MVPA. The remaining time (as a sedentary/light ratio) showed significant differences across day of the week, with Saturdays being significantly more sedentary than average and Sundays tending to be more sedentary than average (but not statistically significant). Both MVPA and the balance of the remaining time spent in sedentary/light activity varied significantly across the day. For the most part, the evening hours had an above average

chance of containing MVPA but were also more sedentary than average, while all or some of the morning hours had a less than average chance of containing MVPA but were also less sedentary. These findings offer interesting and competing possibilities for interventions targeting sedentary time reductions or physical activity increases in colon survivors. All days and times are valid as a target for increasing activity and decreasing sedentary time, however success might be best achieved targeting the periods with the most opportunity to change (e.g., most sedentary or least active) or alternatively targeting the periods already most active/least sedentary, if these indicate a natural inclination towards activity at certain times or on certain days.

This study has some limitations that must be acknowledged. The modest response rate (21%) raises questions about the representativeness of the sample. However, aggregate data provided for Australian non-participants showed they were not significantly different from participants by sex, age category or time from diagnosis. Also, while overcoming self-report biases, accelerometers involve some error in estimating physical activity, such as poor detection of non-ambulatory physical activities (e.g., swimming, cycling) and difficulties distinguishing standing from sedentary behaviour. If such activities are particularly common on certain days, at certain times or in certain groups, the patterns observed may be affected in addition to any impact such error may have on the overall mean levels of activity described. Future research into sedentary behaviour would benefit from utilisation of a separate device to measure sitting time accurately, such as the activPAL (Glasgow, UK).²⁹

In conclusion, this study is the first to comprehensively document the objectively-assessed physical activity and sedentary time of colon cancer survivors. In light of improved survival and

other health benefits associated with MVPA,²⁻⁵ and adverse outcomes associated with sedentary behaviour,^{11, 14} the findings of this study highlight the need for intervention in this population.

References

1. Baade PD, Fritschi L, Eakin EG. Non-cancer mortality among people diagnosed with cancer (Australia). *Cancer Causes & Control* 2006;17(3):287-297.
2. Meyerhardt JA, Giovannucci EL, Holmes MD, Chan AT, Chan JA, Colditz GA, et al. Physical activity and survival after colorectal cancer diagnosis. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2006;24(22):3527-3534.
3. Meyerhardt JA, Giovannucci EL, Ogino S, Kirkner GJ, Chan AT, Willett W, et al. Physical Activity and Male Colorectal Cancer Survival. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2009;169(22):2102-2108.
4. Meyerhardt JA, Heseltine D, Niedzwiecki D, Hollis D, Saltz LB, Mayer RJ, et al. Impact of physical activity on cancer recurrence and survival in patients with stage III colon cancer: Findings from CALGB 89803. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2006;24(22):3535-3541.
5. Kuiper JG, Phipps AI, Neuhauser ML, Chlebowski RT, Thomson CA, Irwin ML, et al. Recreational physical activity, body mass index, and survival in women with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Causes Control* 2012;23(12):1939-48.
6. Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM, Quinney HA, Fields ALA, Jones LW, Vallance JKH, et al. A longitudinal study of exercise barriers in Colorectal Cancer survivors participating in a randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 2005;29(2):147-153.
7. Lynch BM, Cerin E, Owen N, Hawkes AL, Aitken JF. Prospective relationships of physical activity with quality of life among colorectal cancer survivors. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2008;26(27):4480-4487.

8. Vallance J, Boyle T, Courneya KS, Lynch B. Associations of objectively-assessed physical activity and sedentary time with health-related quality of life among colon cancer survivors. *Cancer* 2014;In press.
9. Owen N. Sedentary behavior: Understanding and influencing adults' prolonged sitting time. *Preventive Medicine* 2012;55(6):535-539.
10. Sedentary Behaviour Research Network. Letter to the Editor: Standardized use of the terms “sedentary” and “sedentary behaviours”. *Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism* 2012;37:540-542.
11. Campbell PT, Patel AV, Newton C, Jacobs E, Gapstur SM. Associations of recreational physical activity and leisure time spent sitting with colorectal cancer survival. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2013;31(7):876-885.
12. Hawkes AL, Lynch BM, Owen N, Aitken JF. Lifestyle factors associated concurrently and prospectively with co-morbid cardiovascular disease in a population-based cohort of colorectal cancer survivors. *European Journal of Cancer* 2011;47:267-276.
13. Wijndaele K, Lynch BM, Owen N, Dunstan DW, Sharp S, Aitken JF. Television viewing time and weight gain in colorectal cancer survivors: a prospective population-based study. *Cancer Causes & Control* 2009;20(8):1355-1362.
14. Lynch BM, Cerin E, Owen N, Hawkes AL, Aitken JF. Television viewing time of colorectal cancer survivors is associated prospectively with quality of life. *Cancer Causes & Control* 2011;22(8):1111-1120.
15. Lynch BM, Neilson HK, Friedenreich CM. Physical Activity and Breast Cancer Prevention. In: Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM, editors. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 2011.

16. Lynch BM, Dunstan DW, Healy GN, Winkler E, Eakin E, Owen N. Objectively measured physical activity and sedentary time of breast cancer survivors, and associations with adiposity: findings from NHANES (2003 - 2006). *Cancer Causes & Control* 2010;21:283-288.
17. Lynch BM, Dunstan DW, Winkler E, Healy GN, Eakin E, Owen N. Objectively assessed physical activity, sedentary time and waist circumference among prostate cancer survivors: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2003 - 2006). *European Journal of Cancer Care* 2011;20:514-519.
18. Sabiston CM, Brunet J, Vallance JK, Meterissian S. Prospective examination of objectively-assessed physical activity and sedentary time after breast cancer treatment: Sitting on the crest of the teachable moment. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2014.
19. Matthews CE, Chen KY, Freedson PS, Buchowski MS, Beech BM, Pate RR, et al. Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the united states, 2003-2004. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2008;167(7):875-881.
20. Healy GN, Dunstan DW, Salmon J, Cerin E, Shaw JE, Zimmet PZ, et al. Objectively measured light-intensity physical activity is independently associated with 2-h plasma glucose. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30(6):1384-1389.
21. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 1998;30(5):777-781.
22. Dunstan DW, Kingwell BA, Larsen R, Healy GN, Cerin E, Hamilton MT, et al. Breaking up prolonged sitting reduces postprandial glucose and insulin responses. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:976-983.

23. Atlas SJ, Deyo RA. Evaluating and managing acute low back pain in the primary care setting. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16(2):120-31.
24. Lynch BM, Cerin E, Newman B, Owen N. Physical activity, activity change, and their correlates in a population-based sample of colorectal cancer survivors. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 2007;34(2):135-143.
25. Peddle CJ, Au HJ, Courneya KS. Associations between exercise, quality of life, and fatigue in colorectal cancer survivors. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2008;51(8):1242-8.
26. Boyle T, Lynch BM, Courneya KS, Vallance JK. Agreement between accelerometer-assessed and self-reported physical activity and sedentary time in colon cancer survivors. *Support Care Cancer* 2014.
27. Clarke J, Janssen I. Sporadic and bouts physical activity and the metabolic syndrome in adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2014;46(1):76-83.
28. Lynch BM, Courneya KS, Sethi P, Patrao TA, Hawkes AL. A randomized controlled trial of a multiple health behavior change intervention delivered to colorectal cancer survivors: Effects on Sedentary Behavior. *Cancer* 2014.
29. Kozey-Keadle S, Libertine A, Lyden K, Staudenmayer J, Freedson PS. Validation of wearable monitors for assessing sedentary behavior. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43(8):1561-7.

Figure legend

Figure 1: Variation in sedentary/light ratio (a, b) and MVPA (c, d) by day of the week (a,c) and hour of the day (b,d)

Table 1: Sociodemographic and medical characteristics of Canadian and Australian colon cancer survivors (n = 185)

	Canada n=92		Australia n=93		Total sample n=185	
	n	M (SD)	n	M (SD)	n	M (SD)
Age (n=179)	90	65.9 (9.4)	89	62.5 (10.9)	179	64.2 (10.3)
						p=0.117
Time from diagnosis (months)	92	20.6 (4.8)	93	16.9 (3.0)	185	18.8 (4.4)
						p<0.001
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Sex (n=185)						
Male	48	52.2	54	58.1	102	55.1
Female	44	47.8	39	41.9	83	44.9
						p=0.421
Ethnicity (n=185)						
Caucasian	88	95.6	87	93.6	175	94.6
Indigenous	2	2.2	1	1.1	3	1.6
Other	2	2.2	5	5.4	7	3.8
						p=0.445
Educational attainment (n=179)						
Less than secondary school	11	12.2	20	22.5	31	17.3
Completed secondary school	11	12.2	14	15.7	25	14.0
Post-secondary education	48	53.3	38	42.7	86	48.0
University graduates	20	22.2	17	19.1	37	20.7
						p=0.224
Relationship status (n=178)						
Partnered	71	78.9	69	78.4	140	78.7
Not partnered	19	21.1	19	21.6	38	21.4
						p=0.938
Employment status (n=177)						

Working	28	31.5	41	46.6	69	39.0
Not working	7	7.9	10	11.4	17	9.6
Retired	54	60.7	37	42.1	91	51.4
						p=0.046
Annual family income (n=173)						
Under \$40,000	26	29.9	35	40.7	61	35.3
\$40,000 - \$79,000	36	41.4	21	24.4	57	33.0
\$80,000 and above	25	28.7	30	34.9	55	31.8
						p=0.057
Smoking status (n=179)						
Never smoker	39	43.3	42	47.2	81	45.3
Former smoker	49	54.4	39	43.8	88	49.2
Current smoker	2	2.2	8	9.0	10	5.6
						p=0.089
Body mass index (n=174)						
Normal (<25.0 kg/m ²)	31	34.4	28	33.3	59	33.9
Overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m ²)	35	38.9	42	50.0	77	44.3
Obese (≥30.0 kg/m ²)	24	26.7	14	16.7	38	21.8
						p=0.200
Comorbidity (n=185)						
None	34	37.0	27	29.0	61	33.0
1 or more condition	29	31.5	32	34.4	61	33.0
2 or more conditions	29	31.5	34	36.6	63	34.0
						p=0.511
Treatment received (n=185)						
Surgery only	50	54.4	57	61.3	107	57.8
Surgery plus adjuvant	42	45.7	36	38.7	78	42.2
						p=0.339
Stage (n=183)						
1	22	24.2	33	35.9	55	30.1
2	28	30.8	27	29.4	55	30.1

Table 2: Accelerometer assessed physical activity and sedentary time of Canadian and Australian colon cancer survivors (n=185)

	Mean (SD)	Median (25th, 75th percentile)
Sedentary (<100 cpm)		
Time, mins/day	526.4 (93.2)	
≥20 minute bouts, n/day	6.1 (2.4)	
Time in ≥20 minute bouts, mins/day	224.5 (115.0)	
≥30 minute bouts per day, n/day	3.2 (1.7)	
Time in ≥30 minute bouts, mins/day	154.1 (104.1)	
Light physical activity (100–1951 cpm)		
Time, mins/day	310.1 (99.9)	
Moderate physical activity (1951-5274 cpm)		
Time, mins/day	27.5 (23.3)	23.1 (8.7, 41.1)
Vigorous physical activity (≥5275 cpm)		
Time, mins/day	0.8 (3.0)	0 (0, 0)
Moderate to vigorous physical activity (≥1952 cpm)		
All minutes ≥1952 cpm		
Time (mins/day)	28.3 (24.0)	23.1 (9.0, 43.0)
Consistent with ≥150 mins/week, n (%)	97 (52%)	
In bouts ≥10 minutes		
≥10 minute bouts, n/day	0.4 (0.6)	0.1 (0, 0.7)
Time in ≥10 minute bouts, mins/day	8.5 (13.9)	1.4 (0, 13.7)
Consistent with ≥150 mins/week, n (%)	29 (16%)	
In bouts ≥10 minutes, tolerance of 2 minutes < 1952 cpm		
≥10 minute bouts (modified), n/day	0.6 (0.8)	0.2 (0, 1.0)
Time in ≥10 minute bouts (modified), mins/day	12.3 (18.0)	3.7 (0, 20.7)
Consistent with ≥150 mins/week, n (%)	45 (24%)	

cpm = counts per minute on the vertical axis (hip-worn Actigraph accelerometer)

Table 3: Independent correlates of accelerometer assessed physical activity and sedentary time in colon cancer survivors (n=165 with full data)

	β or RR (95%CI)	p^a
Sedentary, (mins/day): β		
Gender (Women vs Men)	-42.2 (-69.9, 14.5)	0.003
Time since diagnosis, months	2.7 (-0.3, 5.7)	0.075
Education (vs < secondary school)		0.071
Completed secondary school	0.7 (-46.4, 47.9)	0.975
Post-secondary education	-0.8 (-38.8, 37.2)	0.966
University graduates	46.4 (2.6, 90.2)	0.038
Comorbidities (vs None)		0.045
1 condition	-8.6 (-41.3, 24.2)	0.607
≥2 conditions	33.6 (0.6, 66.6)	0.046
BMI (vs ≤ normal weight)		0.017
Overweight	25.4 (-5.1, 56.0)	0.102
Obese	44.4 (7.0, 81.8)	0.020
Light activity (mins/day): β		
Gender (Women vs Men)	46.1 (20.8, 71.4)	<0.001
Age, years	-1.1 (-2.4, 0.1)	0.079
Education (vs < secondary school)		0.018
Completed secondary school	-4.3 (-48.5, 39.9)	0.849
Post-secondary education	-1.2 (-36.4, 34.1)	0.949
University graduates	-56.9 (-97.5, -16.3)	0.006
Adjuvant treatment (yes vs surgery only)	-20.6 (-46.2, 5.0)	0.115
Comorbidities (vs None)		0.243 ^b
1 condition	-8.6 (-41.3, 24.2)	0.549
≥2 conditions	33.6 (0.6, 66.6)	0.239
BMI (vs ≤ normal weight)		0.031
Overweight	24.5 (-52.7, 3.7)	0.088
Obese	-36.0 (-70.2, -1.8)	0.039
Moderate to vigorous physical activity (mins/day): RR^c		
Age, years	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.014

Time since diagnosis, months	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.134
Annual household income (vs <\$40,000)		< 0.001
\$40,000 - \$79,000	1.08 (0.79, 1.48)	0.615
\$80,000 and above	1.83 (1.31, 2.45)	< 0.001
Employment status (vs working)		0.006
Not working	0.56 (0.41, 0.81)	0.002
Retired	0.85 (0.53, 1.35)	0.484
BMI (vs \leq normal weight)		< 0.001
Overweight	0.94 (0.70, 1.26)	0.665
Obese	0.48 (0.34, 0.67)	< 0.001

All correlates are mutually adjusted and adjusted for accelerometer wear time; accelerometer is hip-worn Actigraph, with counts per minute <100 = sedentary, 100–1951 = light and \geq 1952 = moderate -to-vigorous.

^a p-value for difference vs referent category or p-value for trend in italics.

^b p value overall (not assuming linear trend) = 0.179.

^c Modelled using gamma distribution with log link with data presented back-transformed as Relative Rates (RR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI); measured as all minutes with \geq 1952 cpm.

Title

Patterns and correlates of accelerometer-assessed physical activity and sedentary time among colon cancer survivors

Authors

Brigid M. Lynch,¹⁻³ Terry Boyle,⁴⁻⁶ Elisabeth Winkler,⁷ Jessica Ocleston,^{3,8} Kerry S. Courneya,⁹ Jeff K. Vallance¹⁰

¹ Cancer Epidemiology Centre, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

² Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

³ Physical Activity Laboratory, Baker IDI Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁴ Cancer Control Research, British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁵ School of Population and Public Health, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁶ Centre for Medical Research, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

⁷ The University of Queensland, School of Population Health, Cancer Prevention Research Centre, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

⁸ RDNS Institute, Royal District Nursing Service, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁹ Faculty of Physical Education and Recreation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

¹⁰ Faculty of Health Disciplines, Athabasca University, Athabasca, Alberta, Canada.

Address for correspondence

Dr Brigid M. Lynch

Cancer Epidemiology Centre, Cancer Council Victoria, 615 St Kilda Road, Melbourne Victoria 3004, Australia

email: brigid.lynch@cancervic.org.au

telephone: +61 3 9514 6209

Erratum to: Cancer Causes and Control

DOI 10.1007/s10552-015-0683-418

Some errors in the text of the original article have been brought to our attention.

In the Abstract (Results), p. 59: "with Saturdays being more sedentary than average" should be "with Saturdays being less sedentary than average".

In the Results, p. 63: "with the hours from 6pm onward and before 8am being significantly less sedentary than average" should be "with the hours from 6pm onward and before 8am being significantly more sedentary than average".

In the Discussion, p. 67: "with Saturdays being significantly more sedentary than average" should be "with Saturdays being significantly less sedentary than average".